



Pangasius Farms

Guidelines for BAP Standards

GUIDELINES — PANGASIOUS FARMS

These Best Aquaculture Practices certification standards apply to the pond production of *Pangasius*. The following guidelines provide perspective and clarification for the standards referenced in the Certification Application Form. The application form and guidelines were designed to assist program applicants in performing environmental and social impact assessments of their production facilities and developing management systems for compliance with the certification standards.

The word “shall” is used throughout these guidelines to indicate mandatory provisions. For further information, please refer to the additional resources listed.

Standard 1 – Community Property Rights and Regulatory Compliance

Farms shall comply with local and national laws and environmental regulations, and provide current documentation that demonstrates legal rights for land use, water use, construction, operation and waste disposal.

Reasons for Standard

Certified farms shall comply with applicable business-related laws and environmental regulations, including those concerning protection of sensitive habitats, effluents, operation of landfills and predator control. These regulations are needed to assure that farms provide pertinent information to governments and pay fees to support relevant programs. The BAP program requires compliance because it recognizes that not all governmental agencies have sufficient resources to effectively enforce laws.

Some *Pangasius* farms have been sited in water bodies or on land to which farm owners do not have legal right. Such farms are usually found in undeveloped areas under government ownership where land use is poorly controlled. This land may be occupied by landless people or used by coastal communities for hunting, fishing and gathering. Water bodies can also have other important uses for domestic water supplies, irrigation, recreation or tourism. Unauthorized installation of farms can displace landless people and interfere with the use of resources by local communities.

Implementation

Regulations regarding the operation and resource use of farms vary significantly from place to place. Among other requirements, such laws can call for:

- business licenses
- aquaculture licenses
- land deeds, leases or concession agreements

- land use taxes
- construction permits
- water use permits
- effluent permits
- predator control permits
- well operation permits
- protection of wetlands or other sensitive habitats
- environmental impact assessments.

BAP auditors cannot know all laws that apply to *Pangasius* farming in all nations. Participating farms have the responsibility to obtain all necessary documentation for siting, constructing and operating their facilities.

Assistance in determining these necessary permits and licenses can be sought from governmental agencies responsible for agriculture, environmental protection, fisheries and aquaculture, water management, and transportation, as well as local aquaculture associations. BAP auditors must also become familiar with the legal requirements within the areas they service.

The BAP program imposes repeated environmental audits on participating facilities. It strengthens existing regulations that may require aquaculture facilities to perform environmental impact assessments before beginning construction and to comply with effluent standards or other regulations during operation.

During the BAP site inspection, the representative of the farm shall present all necessary documents to the auditor. All documents shall be current, and farms shall be in compliance with the requirements stipulated by the documents. For example, if a farm has an effluent discharge permit with water quality standards, those standards shall be enforced. In cases where governmental agencies have waived one or more permits, proof of these waivers shall be available.

Standard 2 – Community Community Relations

Farms shall strive for good community relations and not block access to public areas, common land, fishing grounds or other traditional natural resources used by local communities.

Reasons for Standard

Aquaculture farms are often located in rural areas, where some individuals may rely on varied natural resources to supplement their livelihoods. Some local residents benefit from employment or infrastructure improvements associated with large-scale aquaculture development, but others may face limited access to areas used for fishing, hunting, gathering, domestic water supply or recreation.

Implementation

Farm management should accommodate traditional uses of natural resources through a cooperative attitude toward established local interests and environmental stewardship.

Farms shall not block traditional access corridors to public spaces and fishing grounds. In some cases, it may be necessary to provide designated access routes across a farm.

To avoid conflicts with local communities, farms are encouraged to communicate with local leaders by telephone, written correspondence, meetings or other means.

During facility inspection, the auditor may verify compliance with this standard through examination of maps that define public and private zones and concession areas; on-site inspection of fences, canals and other barriers; and interviews with local people and farm workers. The auditor should select the individuals for interview, rather than being provided a group of interviewees by farm management.

Standard 3 – Community Worker Safety and Employee Relations

Farms shall comply with local and national labor laws to assure adequate worker safety, compensation and, where applicable, on-site living conditions.

Reasons for Standard

Farm work is potentially dangerous because of the types of machinery employed, the risks of drowning and electrocution, and the use of potentially hazardous materials. Workers may not be well educated nor fully appreciate the risks at farms, and sometimes safety instruction may not be adequate.

Much aquaculture takes place in developing nations where pay scales are low and wage or labor laws may not be consistently enforced. Large farms that employ several hundred workers commonly provide on-site living quarters, which must provide decent living conditions.

Implementation

At a minimum, certified farms shall provide legal wages, a safe working environment and adequate living conditions. Auditors must take into account national regulations and local standards to evaluate this aspect. Efforts should be made to exceed the minimum requirements, because certified farms should be progressive and socially responsible.

Staff should be given initial training, as well as regular refresher training, on safety in all areas of farm operations. Workers should also be trained in the first aid of electrical shock, profuse bleeding, drowning and other possible medical emergencies. Safety equipment such as goggles, gloves, hard hats, life jackets and ear protection should be provided when appropriate. A plan shall be available for obtaining prompt medical assistance for injured or ill workers.

Living quarters should be well ventilated and not overcrowded or exposed to safety hazards. They should provide adequate shelter and clean shower and toilet facilities. Food services, if provided, should provide wholesome meals for workers, with food stored and prepared in a hygienic manner and served for prices that do not exceed local standards. Trash and garbage should not accumulate in living, food preparation or dining areas (see Standard 9). Opportunities for recreation during off-duty hours should be available.

During facility inspection, the auditor will evaluate whether conditions comply with labor laws. The auditor will also interview a random sample of workers to obtain their opinions about wages, safety and living conditions. Any discrepancies will be investigated.

Standard 4 – Environment

Wetland Conservation And Biodiversity Protection

Aquaculture facilities shall not be located in mangrove or other sensitive wetland areas where they displace important natural habitats. Farm operations shall not damage wetlands or reduce the biodiversity of other ecosystems. Wetland area removed for allowable purposes shall be mitigated.

Reasons for Standard

Mangroves and other wetlands are important components of many coastal and inland ecosystems. They represent important breeding and nursery grounds for many aquatic species, and provide habitat for birds and other wildlife. Wetlands are often called the kidneys of the landscape because of their important role in improving the quality of water runoff before it enters streams, lakes or estuaries. Wetlands, and mangrove areas in particular, protect coastal areas from heavy winds, waves and storm surges. Both coastal and inland wetlands are also an important resource to local people.

Farm operations have the potential to alter aquatic ecosystems and cause a decline in biodiversity through wetland destruction, lethal predator control and eutrophication. Erosion and sedimentation at farm outfalls can have adverse impacts on benthic biodiversity.

Implementation

Farm construction shall take place outside areas with sensitive wetland vegetation. Wetland areas should be delineated by evaluation of hydrological conditions and the presence of wetland vegetation.

In coastal zones, aquaculture ponds should be located behind mangrove areas on land that is above the average tidal zone and inundated no more than a few times per month by the highest tides. Particular care should be taken to assure that hydrological conditions are not altered in a way that deprives mangroves or other coastal wetland vegetation of contact with seawater or brackish water.

Certified farms shall not discharge effluents into public mangrove or wetland areas to effect water treatment unless monitoring at the point of entry shows that total suspended solids concentrations comply with the limits in Standard 5.

Farms shall not dredge or fill in sensitive wetlands or wetland buffers to increase the area available for pond construction. Excessive pond construction on a flood plain can reduce the cross-sectional area of flow and increase flood levels and water velocities. This can result in water overtopping pond embankments, erosion of farm earthwork and damage to other property on the flood plain. The problem usually can be avoided if not more than 40% of the flood plain is blocked by pond embankments.

Allowable Wetland Removal

It is sometimes necessary during construction to remove wetland vegetation to access water supplies and for drainage. This practice is allowable, provided no local regulations prohibit it. However, farms shall mitigate the damage to wetland vegetation. The most reliable procedure is to contribute to wetland restoration programs, for farm operators may not have suitable habitat and expertise for creating wetland areas. If replanting is conducted on or near the farm, an area three times greater than the removed area shall be replanted, and the auditor shall verify that it is viable by confirming it is initially healthy and still healthy at subsequent annual audits.

Farms formerly constructed in wetland areas are encouraged to demonstrate environmental stewardship by re-establishing viable wetland vegetation or by contributing to wetland rehabilitation projects. When ponds constructed in former wetland areas are closed, embankments should be breached to restore natural water flow so that wetland vegetation can reestablish.

Farms shall obey laws related to the destruction of birds and other predators. Where applicable, permits and records should be available. The BAP program strongly encourages farms to employ humane, non-lethal measures for predator control, even when lethal methods are permitted. Farms should record all predator mortalities (species and numbers). Additionally, all species listed by the World Conservation Union red list or protected by local or national laws shall not be subject to control by any means.

At land-based farms supplied with water from natural sources, screens shall be installed on the intakes of water pumps to prevent impingement of aquatic animals. Screens shall also be installed on water outlets to minimize the escape of farm animals.

During initial inspection, the auditor will record farm areas occupied by wetland vegetation. If dying wetland vegetation is observed around farms, the auditor will determine if the mortality is the result of farm operations. If it is, a warning will be issued and the deficiency shall be corrected for continuation of certification. Wetland removal for unapproved purposes or failure to mitigate allowable removal will result in loss of certification.

Prevention of erosion avoids resedimentation of soil material from effluents downstream from farms. Sedimentation can also result from the settling of particles in pond effluents.

The control of erosion from effluent involves reducing the impact energy of discharges upon soil and reducing water velocity in ditches to prevent scouring. Drainpipes should extend at least 1 m beyond embankments at an elevation near the ditch bottom. The pipe outlet area should be protected with a splash shield or riprap to reduce effluent energy. Drainpipes that discharge directly into streams should extend over the stream bank to prevent erosion and be located near the stream's normal water level.

Standard 5 – Environment

Effluent Management

Aquaculture facilities shall monitor their effluents to confirm compliance with BAP effluent water quality criteria*. Water quality measurements taken during certification

inspection shall meet both BAP criteria and those of applicable government permits. Facilities shall comply with BAP's final criteria within five years.

BAP Water Quality Criteria – Pangasius Farms

Variable (units)	Initial Value	Final Value (after 5 years)	Collection Frequency
pH (standard units)	6.0-9.5	6.0-9.0	Monthly
Total suspended solids (mg/L)	50 or less	25 or less	Quarterly
Soluble phosphorus (mg/L)	0.5 or less	0.3 or less	Monthly
Total ammonia nitrogen (mg/L)	5 or less	3 or less	Monthly
5-day biochemical oxygen demand (mg/L)	50 or less	30 or less	Quarterly
Dissolved oxygen (mg/L)	4 or more	5 or more	Monthly
Chloride	No discharge above 800 mg/L chloride into freshwater	No discharge above 550 mg/L chloride into freshwater	Monthly
Water with less than 1 ppt salinity, specific conductance below 1,500 µmhos/cm or chloride less than 550 mg/L is considered fresh.			

* **Limited Option:** The source water for aquaculture farms can have higher concentrations of water quality variables than allowed by the initial criteria. In these cases, demonstration that the concentrations of the variables do not increase (or decrease for dissolved oxygen) by more than the final values between the source water and farm effluent is an acceptable alternative to compliance with the criteria. This option does not apply to pH, dissolved oxygen and chloride.

Additional Data

After the first year of effluent monitoring, the auditor will also use data provided by facilities' application forms to calculate:

- an annual water use index, determined as described below.
- annual load indices for total suspended solids, soluble phosphorus, total ammonia nitrogen and five-day biochemical oxygen demand, determined as described below.

Reasons for Standard

Only a portion of the nutrients added to aquaculture facilities to increase production is converted to animal tissue. The remainder becomes waste that can cause increased concentrations of nutrients, organic matter and suspended solids in and around culture systems.

Land-based farms discharge effluents during water exchange or when growout units are cleaned or drained for harvest. Effluents can contain nitrogen, phosphorus, suspended solids and organic matter at greater than ambient concentrations.

The substances in effluents can contribute to eutrophication, sedimentation and high oxygen demand in receiving water. Effluents with low dissolved oxygen concentrations or high pH can negatively affect aquatic organisms in receiving water bodies.

Implementation

This standard is designed to demonstrate that compliance with other BAP standards through the application of good management practices is effective in reducing the volume

and improving the quality of farm effluents. The water quality criteria also assure that effluents from aquaculture facilities have no greater concentrations of pollutants than typically allowed for effluents from other point sources.

Where possible, farms should adopt practices that reduce effluent volume, such as harvesting by seining rather than draining, and maintaining water quality by mechanical aeration rather than pond flushing.

Applicants in the BAP program shall maintain records for effluent data (see sample forms on pages 16-17). To confirm compliance with BAP water quality criteria at farms, the auditor will sample effluents during the inspection process and have them analyzed by an independent laboratory.

Sampling

- Samples shall be collected near the point where effluents enter natural water bodies or exit the farm property. A water control structure at the sampling site or suitable sampling method should be used to prevent mixing of effluent and water from the receiving body.
- For farms with multiple effluent outfalls, all or several outfalls shall be sampled to prepare a composite sample for analysis. Where there are more than four outfalls, three outfalls shall be selected as sampling locations.
- Water shall be collected directly from the discharge stream of pipes or dipped from the surface of ditches or canals with a clean plastic bottle. The sample will be placed on ice in a closed, insulated chest to prevent exposure to light.

- Samples or direct measurements for temperature, dissolved oxygen and pH shall be obtained between 0500 and 0700 hours, and 1300 and 1500 hours on the same day. The average of the two measurements for each variable will be used for verification of compliance.
- Samples for other variables shall be collected between 0500 and 0700 hours.
- The number of ponds or growout units being drained for harvest at the time of sampling shall be recorded.
- Source water samples shall be collected quarterly directly in front of the pump station or from the pump discharge outlet but before pumped water mixes with the supply canal. These samples enable the calculation of annual loads (Equation 2 below) and establish if the limited option is applicable.

Analyses

- Analysis shall be done by a private or government laboratory following standard methods as published by the American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association and Water Environment Federation — www.standardmethods.org.
- Hach and Merck water analysis equipment is approved for total ammonia nitrogen, soluble phosphorus, and chloride analyses. However, auditors can reject analytical results if sampling, in situ measurements or lab protocols are deficient.
- Measurements for temperature, dissolved oxygen and pH shall be taken in situ with portable meters. Auditors must verify the correct application of calibration procedures.
- Salinity should be determined by a conductivity meter with a salinity scale, rather than a hand-held, refractometer-type salinity meter. Alternatively, specific conductance can be measured. Assume that water with specific conductance above 2,000 $\mu\text{mhos/cm}$ exceeds 1.5 ppt salinity, and water with specific conductance over 1,500 $\mu\text{mhos/cm}$ exceeds 1.0 ppt salinity. Note: 1 mS/m = 10 $\mu\text{mhos/cm}$ and 1 $\mu\text{mho/cm}$ = 1 mS/cm.

Rules for Compliance

At least three months of effluent data are required for initial farm certification. For each variable measured monthly, at least 10 values obtained during a 12-month period shall initially comply with the criteria. After five years, the target is no more than one annual case of non-compliance for each variable. For variables measured quarterly, one non-compliance is initially permitted for each variable during a 12-month period. The target after five years is no more than one case of non-compliance for each variable during a 24-month period. When non-compliances occur, farms should make every effort to correct the problems within 90 days.

Irrigation Systems

Where the farm is within an irrigation system and effluents are used only for crop irrigation, operations shall be exempt from water quality monitoring and effluent limitations.

Annual Effluent Volume

After the first year of effluent monitoring, an estimation of annual effluent volume shall be determined using the following equation:

Equation 1

$$\text{Effluent} = (\text{Water added} + \text{Precipitation} + \text{Runoff}) - (\text{Seepage} + \text{Evaporation}) + (\text{Farm volume, day 1} - \text{Farm volume, day 365}).$$

The terms of this equation can be estimated as follows:

$$\text{Water added (m}^3\text{)} = \text{Pump capacity (m}^3\text{/hr)} \times \text{Pump operation (hr/yr)} \text{ or other appropriate method.}$$

$$\text{Precipitation (m}^3\text{)} = \text{Annual precipitation (m)} \times \text{Farm water surface area (m}^2\text{)}.$$

$$\text{Runoff (m}^3\text{)} = \text{Annual precipitation (m)} \times \text{Watershed area (m}^2\text{)} \times 0.25.$$

$$\text{Seepage (m}^3\text{)} = \text{Farm water surface area (m}^2\text{)} \times 0.55 \text{ m/yr.}$$

$$\text{Evaporation (m}^3\text{)} = \text{Class A pan evaporation (m/yr)} \times 0.8 \times \text{Farm water surface area (m}^2\text{)}.$$

$$\text{Farm volume} = [\text{Average depth of ponds (m)} - \text{Average distance of water level below overflow structure (m)}] \times \text{Farm water surface area (m}^2\text{)}.$$

Annual Effluent Loads

Loads of water quality variables are more indicative of the pollution potential of farm effluents than separate measurements of concentrations of these variables and effluent volume. After the first year of effluent monitoring, annual effluent loads for total suspended solids, soluble phosphorus, total ammonia nitrogen and five-day biochemical oxygen demand shall be calculated as follows:

Equation 2

$$\text{Load of variable (kg/yr)} = \text{Farm discharge (m}^3\text{/yr)} \times [\text{Mean annual variable concentration in effluent} - \text{mean annual variable concentration in source water (mg/L, same as g/m}^3\text{)}] \times 10^{-3} \text{ kg/g}$$

Water Use and Load Indices

It is possible to comply with numerical water quality criteria by increasing the amount of water passing through a farm to dilute the concentrations of tested variables. Compliance with the water use index assures that farms meet water quality criteria through good management rather than diluting effluents before they are released into natural waters. After the first year of effluent monitoring, water use and load indices shall be estimated using the following equations:

Equation 3

$$\text{Water use index (m}^3\text{/kg fish)} = \frac{\text{Annual effluent volume (m}^3\text{)}}{\text{Annual fish production (kg)}}$$

Equation 4

$$\text{Load index (kg variable/mt fish)} = \frac{\text{Annual load of variable (kg/yr)}}{\text{Annual fish production (mt/yr)}}.$$

Production Practices

Compliance with the effluent management standard usually requires farms to improve their production practices in some areas. These areas can include practices for erosion control, feed management, water and bottom soil quality, and water exchange that can reduce and improve pond effluents.

If adoption of these practices is not sufficient to meet BAP water quality criteria, a settling basin shall be installed to provide water treatment before final discharge. If a settling basin is used, the water quality criteria shall apply to its final outfall.

In cases where source water has high concentrations of suspended solids, a presettling basin to improve water quality before the water reaches production ponds can lessen sediment accumulation in ponds and possibly benefit effluent quality.

In some cases, the use of a natural or constructed “filter strip” can provide effective treatment for effluents before they are discharged into public waters. Effluent water flows in a thin sheet across the strips, which allows the capture of sediment, organic matter and other pollutants by deposition, infiltration, absorption, decomposition and volatilization.

Another approach is the use of retention, evaporation or percolation ponds in areas with highly porous soils. For fresh-water effluent, application for irrigation purposes to fields with sustained vegetative cover at less than the rate that causes runoff into natural waters is an option.

Sample Effluent Monitoring Form: Dissolved Oxygen and pH

Date (day/month/year)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)			pH (standard units)			Number of Units Being Harvested
	Morning	Evening	Average	Morning	Evening	Average	
____/01/____							
____/02/____							
____/03/____							
____/04/____							
____/05/____							
____/06/____							
____/07/____							
____/08/____							
____/09/____							
____/10/____							
____/11/____							
____/12/____							
Annual Average							

Sample Effluent Monitoring Form: Soluble Phosphorus, Total Ammonia Nitrogen, Chloride

Date (day/month/year)	Soluble Phosphorus (mg/L)	Total Ammonia Nitrogen (mg/L)	Chloride (mg/L)	Number of Units Being Harvested
____/01/____				
____/02/____				
____/03/____				
____/04/____				
____/05/____				
____/06/____				
____/07/____				
____/08/____				
____/09/____				
____/10/____				
____/11/____				
____/12/____				
Annual Average				

Sample Effluent Monitoring Form: Total Suspended Solids, 5-day Biochemical Oxygen Demand

Quarter	Date (day/month/year)	Total Suspended Solids (mg/L)	5-day Biochemical Oxygen Demand (mg/L)	Number of Units Being Harvested
1				
2				
3				
4				
Annual Average				

**Example: Water Use, Load Indices
For Farm Discharge Estimated
By Pond Volume-Water Exchange Method**

A farm has 100 ha of ponds that average 1 m deep, with average water exchange of 2.5% pond volume/day. There are 2.3 crops/year, and the average length of each crop is 120 days. The source water of the farm contains an average 10 mg/L total suspended solids (TSS), 0.03 mg/L soluble phosphorus (SP), 0.15 mg/L total ammonia nitrogen (TAN) and 1.5 mg/L biochemical oxygen demand (BOD).

The farm effluent contains an average of 45 mg/L TSS, 0.19 mg/L SP, 0.87 mg/L TAN and 9.6 mg/L BOD. Fish production for the past year was 230,000 kg (230 mt).

Calculations:

$$\text{Pond volume} = 100 \text{ ha} \times 10,000 \text{ m}^2/\text{ha} \times 1 \text{ m} = 1,000,000 \text{ m}^3$$

$$\text{Farm discharge} = [1,000,000 \text{ m}^3/\text{crop} \times 2.3 \text{ crops/yr}] + [1,000,000 \text{ m}^3 \times 0.025 \text{ pond volume/day} \times 120 \text{ days/crop} \times 2.3 \text{ crops/yr}] = 9,200,000 \text{ m}^3/\text{yr}$$

$$\text{TSS load} = (45 - 10 \text{ g/m}^3)(9,200,000 \text{ m}^3/\text{yr})10^{-3} = 322,000 \text{ kg/yr}$$

$$\text{SP load} = (0.19 - 0.03 \text{ g/m}^3)(9,200,000 \text{ m}^3/\text{yr})10^{-3} = 1,472 \text{ kg/yr}$$

$$\text{TAN load} = (0.87 - 0.15 \text{ g/m}^3)(9,200,000 \text{ m}^3/\text{yr})10^{-3} = 6,624 \text{ kg/yr}$$

$$\text{BOD load} = (9.6 - 1.5 \text{ g/m}^3)(9,200,000 \text{ m}^3/\text{yr})10^{-3} = 74,520 \text{ kg/yr}$$

$$\text{Water use index} = \frac{9,200,000 \text{ m}^3/\text{yr}}{230,000 \text{ kg fish/yr}} = 40 \text{ m}^3/\text{kg fish}$$

$$\text{TSS index} = \frac{322,000 \text{ kg/yr}}{230 \text{ mt fish}} = 1,400 \text{ kg TSS/mt fish}$$

$$\text{SP index} = \frac{322,000 \text{ kg/yr}}{230 \text{ mt fish}} = 6.4 \text{ kg SP/mt fish}$$

$$\text{TAN index} = \frac{6,624 \text{ kg/yr}}{230 \text{ mt fish}} = 28.8 \text{ kg TAN/mt fish}$$

$$\text{BOD index} = \frac{74,520 \text{ kg/yr}}{230 \text{ mt fish}} = 324 \text{ kg BOD/mt fish}$$

**Example: Water Use, Load Indices
For Farm Discharge Estimated
By Pump Operation Method**

A farm has two pumps that discharge a combined volume of 136 m³/min. The pumps operate an average of 8 hr/day. The source water of the farm contains an average 10 mg/L total suspended solids (TSS), 0.03 mg/L soluble phosphorus (SP), 0.15 mg/L total ammonia nitrogen (TAN) and 1.5 mg/L biochemical oxygen demand (BOD).

The farm effluent contains 91 mg/L total suspended solids, 0.23 mg/L soluble phosphorus, 1.20 mg/L total ammonia nitrogen and 12.7 mg/L biochemical oxygen demand (BOD). Fish production during the past year was 378,000 kg (378 mt).

Calculations:

$$\text{Farm discharge} = 136 \text{ m}^3/\text{min} \times 60 \text{ min/hr} \times 8 \text{ hr/day} \times 365 \text{ days/yr} = 23,827,200 \text{ m}^3/\text{yr}$$

$$\text{TSS load} = (23,827,200 \text{ m}^3/\text{yr})(91 - 10 \text{ g/m}^3)10^{-3} = 1,930,000 \text{ kg}$$

$$\text{SP load} = (23,827,200 \text{ m}^3/\text{yr})(0.23 - 0.03 \text{ g/m}^3)10^{-3} = 4,765 \text{ kg}$$

$$\text{TAN load} = (23,827,200 \text{ m}^3/\text{yr})(1.20 - 0.15 \text{ g/m}^3)10^{-3} = 25,018 \text{ kg}$$

$$\text{BOD load} = (23,827,200 \text{ m}^3/\text{yr})(12.7 - 1.5 \text{ g/m}^3)10^{-3} = 266,865 \text{ kg}$$

$$\text{Water use index} = \frac{23,827,200 \text{ m}^3/\text{yr}}{378,000 \text{ kg fish/yr}} = 63.0 \text{ m}^3/\text{kg fish}$$

$$\text{TSS index} = \frac{1,930,000 \text{ kg/yr}}{378 \text{ mt fish}} = 5,106 \text{ kg TSS/mt fish}$$

$$\text{SP index} = \frac{4,765 \text{ kg/yr}}{378 \text{ mt fish}} = 12.6 \text{ kg SP/mt fish}$$

$$\text{TAN index} = \frac{25,018 \text{ kg/yr}}{378 \text{ mt fish}} = 66.2 \text{ kg TAN/mt fish}$$

$$\text{BOD index} = \frac{266,865 \text{ kg/yr}}{378 \text{ mt fish}} = 706 \text{ kg BOD/mt fish}$$

Standard 6 – Environment Sludge Management

Pangasius farms shall use sedimentation basins to collect sludge from fish ponds to prevent negative ecological impacts on surrounding land and water.

Reasons for Standard

Aquaculture ponds have high hydraulic retention times and function as sedimentation basins, but negative environmental impacts can arise when sediments are resuspended during harvest or when sediment is pumped from ponds during the culture period and discharged as a highly fluid sludge. The sludge contains organic material from feces and uneaten feed, but mainly comprises mineral particles that entered the ponds in source water from a river.

Discharge of sludge may not be an issue for ponds with production of less than 20 mt/ha/crop, but above this threshold, the use of sedimentation basins for sludge disposal is needed.

Implementation

The minimum required sedimentation basin volume can be estimated using the following equation:

$$\text{Sedimentation basin volume} = 37.5 \times [\text{Fish production (mt)} \times \text{Sludge transfers (times/crop)}] + [\text{Fish production (mt)} \div 0.6]$$

In the above equation, fish production is the total quantity of fish produced in all ponds that discharge into the sedimentation basin, and sludge transfers are the mean frequency at which sludge is moved from ponds to the sedimentation basin. It is also assumed that:

- The minimum hydraulic retention time to allow coarse and medium solids to settle out is six hours.
- One mt of fish production equates to 1 mt sediment.
- Sludge removal can be spread over a 24-hour period.
- Sediment bulk density is 0.6 t/m³.
- The solids content of sludge is 6.5kg/m³.
- Accumulated sediments in the basin are removed at the end of each crop to return the basin to its original capacity.

Note: If sludge is removed more frequently from fish ponds, the required size of the sedimentation basin is reduced.

The farm operator shall provide the auditor with mean values for fish production and sludge transfer frequency so the required sedimentation basin volume can be calculated. The auditor shall verify that the farm has the required volume of basins in use and available for sludge containment. The table below helps farmers estimate the proportion of farm surface area needed for sedimentation basins.

Basins should be configured so that raw sludge enters at the top of the basin and resulting effluent exits at the top on the other side of the basin. Five or six calibrated poles should be installed in basins to allow the build-up of settled solids to be monitored and ensure the remaining capacity supports a minimum six-hour hydraulic retention time.

Sediments removed from sludge basins shall be confined at the farm or used for landfill or agriculture. See Standard 7.

Additional Information

Environmental Impact Assessment of the Pangasius Sector in the Mekong Delta

R. H. Bosma, C. T. T. Hanh and J. Potting – 2009
MARD/DAQ, Wageningen University, The Netherlands

Bottom Soils, Sediment and Pond Aquaculture

C. E. Boyd – 1995
Chapman and Hall
New York, New York, USA

Pond Aquaculture Water Quality Management

C. E. Boyd and C. S. Tucker – 1998
Kluwer Academic Publishers
Boston, Massachusetts, USA

Physical and Chemical Characteristics of Bottom Soil Profiles in Ponds at Auburn, Alabama, USA

P. Munsiri, C. E. Boyd and B. F. Hajek – 1995
Journal of the World Aquaculture Society 26:346-377

Treatment of Channel Catfish Pond Effluents in Sedimentation Basins

G. Ozbay and C. E. Boyd – 2004
World Aquaculture 35(3):10-13

Percentage of Farm Surface Area Needed for Sedimentation Basins

Frequency of Sludge Removal	Mean Harvest Density (mt/ha/crop)							
	50	100	150	200	250	300	350	400
16	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	6.1	7.1	8.1
14	1.1	2.2	3.3	4.3	5.4	6.5	7.6	8.7
12	1.2	2.4	3.6	4.8	6.0	7.2	8.4	9.6
10	1.4	2.7	4.1	5.4	6.8	8.2	9.5	10.9
8	1.6	3.2	4.8	6.4	8.0	9.5	11.1	12.7
6	2.0	4.0	5.9	7.9	9.9	11.9	13.9	15.8
4	2.8	5.5	8.3	11.0	13.8	16.6	19.3	22.1
2	5.1	10.2	15.3	20.4	25.5	30.7	35.8	40.9
1	9.8	19.6	29.4	39.2	49.0	58.7	68.5	78.3

Note: These values assume basins are 2 m deep. If basins are only 1 m deep, double the indicated surface area.

Standard 7 – Environment Soil and Water Conservation

Farm construction and operations shall not cause soil and water salinization or deplete groundwater in surrounding areas. Farms shall properly manage and dispose of sediment from ponds, canals and settling basins.

Reasons for Standard

In some locations, freshwater from underground aquifers is used to dilute salinity in brackish water ponds or as the main water supply for freshwater ponds. Farming can cause salinization if saline water from ponds infiltrates freshwater aquifers or is discharged into freshwater lakes or streams. Farms can potentially lower water tables and negatively affect groundwater availability. Where other suitable water sources are available, the use of well water is discouraged.

Sediments that accumulate in canals, ponds and settling basins can negatively impact water movement and affect pond soil and water conditions, necessitating periodic dredging and removal. Sediments are mostly mineral soil enriched with organic material, but at some farms also contain water-soluble salt from contact with saline water. Improper disposal of salt-laden sediments from ponds can cause salinization of soil and water.

Implementation

Salinization can result from pond culture in coastal areas where ponds are filled with brackishwater. Several practices can be adopted to lessen the risk of salinization. One of the most important is to avoid constructing ponds in highly permeable, sandy soil, or to provide clay or plastic liners to minimize seepage. Other useful practices:

- Do not discharge saline water into freshwater areas.
- Avoid excessive pumping of groundwater from freshwater aquifers, and do not use freshwater from wells to dilute salinity in growout ponds.
- Monitor chloride concentration in freshwater wells near farms to determine if salinization is occurring.

In freshwater levee and embankment ponds, use the drop-fill method to capture rainfall and runoff, and reduce the use of water from other sources. Water should not be added to ponds during dry weather until the water level has fallen 15 to 20 cm below the overflow level. Water should then be added to increase the water surface level by not more than 7.5 to 10 cm. This practice provides storage volume sufficient to capture normal rainfall and runoff.

Where freshwater from wells is used to supply ponds or other production facilities, water levels in nearby wells should be monitored to determine if aquaculture use is contributing to a decline in the water table level. Use of water from irrigation systems should be in accordance with regulations, and effluent should be returned to the irrigation system.

Water removal from lakes, streams, springs and other natural sources should not be excessive and cause ecological damage or conflicts with other water users. Where possible, seine harvest fish and do not drain ponds for several years. This practice is highly recommended, for it conserves water, reduces effluent volume and lessens pumping costs.

Farm ponds should be surrounded by a ditch to intercept seepage. This ditch should be large enough to capture overflow from ponds following rainfall. When ponds are drained for harvest, water should be stored in a reservoir or transferred to other ponds for reuse.

When ponds must be drained into a freshwater stream, the water should be discharged when stream flow is high. The water should be discharged slowly to avoid increases in chloride concentration greater than 250 mg/L in the receiving water body.

A vegetative barrier of salt-sensitive vegetation around farms can help detect movement of salt into adjacent areas. Where freshwater from wells is used to supply ponds or other production facilities, water levels in nearby wells should be monitored by appropriate regulatory agencies to determine if aquaculture use is contributing to a decline in the water table level.

Farms shall manage sediment so that it does not cause salinization or other ecological nuisances in surrounding land or water. Sediment accumulation in ponds and canals should be reduced by implementing proper earthen infrastructure design to lessen erosion and placing aerators to avoid impingement of water currents on embankments. Erosion-prone areas should be reinforced with stone or other lining materials. Bare areas should be covered with gravel or grass.

When sediment is stored, it shall be confined within a diked area so that solids suspended by rainfall can be retained. When sediment must be removed, it shall ideally be reused to repair pond earthworks or applied as fill material. The sediment can also be spread in a thin layer over the land and vegetative cover established.

For Additional Information

Hydrology and Water Supply for Pond Aquaculture

K. H. Yoo and C. E. Boyd – 1994
Chapman and Hall
New York, New York, USA

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Engineering Manual No. 1110-2-5027

“Confined Disposal of Dredged Material”

Department of the Army – 1987

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Washington, D.C., USA

Online at <http://www.usace.army.mil/inet/usace-docs/eng-manuals/em1110-2-5027/toc.htm>

Standard 8 – Environment

Fishmeal and Fish Oil Conservation

Farms shall accurately monitor feed inputs and minimize the use of fishmeal and fish oil derived from wild fisheries.

Reasons for Standard

The majority of feeds manufactured for use in aquaculture contain fishmeal and fish oil as protein and lipid sources. Although fishmeal and fish oil are renewable resources derived primarily from small fish that are not generally utilized for direct human consumption, there are limits to the amounts of these products the world's oceans can supply.

The BAP program therefore supports the use of protein feed ingredients derived from terrestrial sources, as well as fishmeal and fish oil produced from fish processing and fishery by-products. Fishery-based ingredients from wild sources should come from sustainable fisheries.

In addition, by improving the efficiency with which feed is converted into fish biomass, farmers can lessen the amount of fishmeal and fish oil used. More efficient feed conversion also has a direct beneficial impact on water quality and limits the release of excess nutrients to the environment.

Implementation

Pangasius feed is typically manufactured at commercial facilities and delivered to farms. Farmers shall obtain feed from suppliers that provide reliable information on the crude protein and fishmeal and fish oil content in the feeds. Farmers shall record the characteristics of all feeds used, the total amounts of each feed used each year and the total annual fish production.

Although a BAP standard for feed conversion has not been established, producers should strive to reduce their facilities' feed-conversion ratios as low as practicable. Also, certified farms should maintain or lower feed conversion in the years following their initial certification. Harvest size must be considered when assessing the evolution of FCR.

Additional Data

Feed-Conversion Ratio

The feed-conversion ratio is a measure of the amount of feed needed to produce a unit weight of the culture species. Farms shall calculate and record FCR yearly using the following equation:

Equation 1

Feed-conversion ratio = Annual feed use (mt) ÷ Fish harvested (mt).

“Fish In:Fish Out” Ratio

The so-called “fish in:fish out” ratio is one means of measuring the ecological efficiency of an aquaculture system. It compares the amount of fish consumed by the system (usually in the form of fishmeal and fish oil) with the amount of fish produced.

Pangasius producers should strive to obtain the lowest fish in: fish out ratio practicable in order to conserve industrial fish resources. Since *Pangasius* diets typically incorporate only small amounts of fishmeal and fish oil, *Pangasius* farms typically have fish in:fish out ratios of less than 1, indicating they actually make a net contribution to global fish supplies.

Farms shall calculate and record a final yearly fish in:fish out ratio using Equation 2 below. In the absence of better, specific data from the feed supplier, the transformation yields for industrial fish to fishmeal and fish oil should be 22% and 8%, respectively.

Equation 2

Fish in:fish out ratio = Feed fish inclusion factor of feed (from manufacturer) x Feed-conversion ratio

Where feed fish inclusion factor = {Level of fishmeal in diet (%) + Level of fish oil in diet (%)} ÷ [Yield of fishmeal from wild fish (%) + Yield of fish oil from wild fish (%)]

The inclusion levels in Equation 2 should include any meal or oil derived from wild-caught fish, squid, krill, mollusks or any other wild marine animals. However, they should exclude meal or oil derived from fishery by-products such as trimmings, offal and squid liver powder, and aquaculture by-products such as shrimp head meal.

Additional Information

The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture

FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Department – 2006
<ftp://ftp.fao.org/docrep/fao/009/a0699e/a0699e.pdf>

Fish In:Fish Out Ratios Explained

International Fishmeal and Fish Oil Organisation
<http://www.iffo.net/intranet/content/archivos/100.pdf>

Standard 9 – Environment Control of Escapes, Use of GMOs

Certified farms shall take measures to minimize escapes of farm stock and comply with governmental regulations regarding the use of native and non-native species, and genetically modified *Pangasius*.

Reasons for Standard

The escape of non-native culture species or the release of their eggs or larvae could lead through interbreeding to the alteration of the gene pools of local fish populations. Escapes of non-native species could also lead to competition with native species and possibly have other detrimental ecological consequences. Diseases can also be transmitted from escapees to wild fish.

Most nations allow the importation of native species, and some allow specified non-native imports. Among other factors, regulation is required because diseases can be transferred between countries and species by importations of eggs, fry and broodstock. Regulations usually require health certificates and quarantine.

Genetically modified organisms (GMOs) are defined as organisms whose genomes have been modified by the introduction or deletion of specific genetic material. Sex-reversed organisms and their offspring, and organisms created by hybridization and polyploidy are not GMOs.

Should genetically modified *Pangasius* be commercialized in the future, producers shall comply with all regulations regarding such organisms. Some consumers do not desire genetically modified foods and should be provided with reliable information to enable informed food choices.

Implementation

Participating farms shall keep records of their sources and purchases of stocking material, and record the number stocked in each culture unit for each crop. A sample Pond-Level Traceability Form that records this data is provided in

the Traceability section. In the future, farms that use GMO fish must also note this information. All incidents involving animal escapes shall be accurately documented. Farms should demonstrate reductions in escapes over time.

All holding, transport and culture systems shall be designed, operated and maintained to minimize the escape of eggs, larval forms, juveniles and adult animals. Ponds and other culture systems shall have intact screens on water inlets and outlets. Filter screens or devices shall be sized to retain the smallest life stage present. Acceptable filter devices include a series of mesh screens capable of screening all water, dry-bed filters constructed with gravel and sand, microscreen solids filters, and pond traps with screened discharge.

Production facilities should be constructed to prevent overtopping by storm surges, waves or flood water. When heavy rainfall is expected, pond water levels should be drawn down to prevent the rain from raising water levels and overtopping embankments.

During site inspection, documentation of compliance with government regulations relating to the import of fry shall be available. Even if imported fry were purchased from an intermediary, pertinent documents shall be provided.

Regulations differ by country, and the certification body cannot maintain complete records of the requirements in every country. Auditors should become familiar with relevant regulations in countries that they serve.

For Additional Information

FAO Fisheries Technical Paper No. 402

Asia Regional Technical Guidelines on Health Management for the Responsible Movement of Live Aquatic Animals and the Beijing Consensus and Implementation Strategy
FAO/NACA – 2000
Rome, Italy

Standard 10 – Environment

Storage and Disposal of Farm Supplies

Fuel, lubricants and agricultural chemicals shall be stored and disposed of in a safe and responsible manner. Paper and plastic refuse shall be disposed of in a sanitary and responsible way.

Reasons for Standard

Farms use fuel, oil and grease to power and lubricate vehicles, pumps, aerators and other mechanical devices. The main agricultural chemicals used in aquaculture include fertilizers, liming materials and zeolite. Some farms use insecticides, herbicides, parasiticides and algicides. Other products employed include preservatives, paints, disinfectants, detergents, and antifoulants.

Fuels and some fertilizers are highly flammable and/or explosive, and pesticides, herbicides and algicides are toxic. They shall therefore be considered potential hazards to workers.

Spills or careless disposal of petroleum products and agricultural chemicals can also affect aquatic organisms and other wildlife in the immediate vicinity, and result in water pollution over a wider area.

Farms generate considerable waste that can cause pollution, odors and human health hazards on the farm and in surrounding areas when not disposed of properly. Human food scraps, out-of-date feed and other organic waste can attract scavengers. Runoff from refuse piles can cause pollution and contaminate ground water.

Empty plastic bags and other containers used for feed, fertilizer and liming materials do not decompose quickly. They can be a hazard to animals that become entangled in them.

Implementation

Fuel, lubricants and agricultural chemicals shall be labeled and stored in a manner to prevent fires, explosions and spills. Used lubricants and unwanted or out-of-date chemicals shall be disposed of in a responsible manner.

Secondary containment shall be provided for individual fuel storage tanks over 2,500 liters in volume and multiple tanks with combined storage of over 5,000 liters. The containment volume should be equivalent to 110% of individual tanks or 110% of the largest tank in a multiple-tank storage system. “Flammable Material” and “No Smoking” warning signs shall be installed at fuel storage sites.

Oil leaks from tractors, trucks and other equipment should be prevented through good maintenance. Oil changes and refueling should avoid spills, with used oil sent to a recycling center. Out-of-date chemicals and wastes collected after chemical spills shall be confined in sturdy plastic containers, labeled and sent to a hazardous waste disposal site.

Chemicals such as insecticides, herbicides, algicides, anti-foulants and detergents shall be stored in locked, well-ventilated, water-tight buildings. The buildings’ concrete floors should slope to a center basin for containing spills. Warning signs should be posted.

Fertilizers, liming materials, salt and other less hazardous agricultural chemicals should be stored under a roof, where rainfall will not wash them into surface water. Particular care shall be taken with nitrate fertilizers, which are strong oxidants that are particularly explosive when contaminated with diesel fuel or other oils. Nitrate fertilizers shall be protected from contact with petroleum products and open sparks.

Procedures should be developed for managing spills of oil, fuel, chemicals, feed, fertilizers and other products. The equipment and supplies needed for managing and cleaning up these spills should be readily available and accessible. Workers should be trained to properly use the equipment and handle the contained waste.

Trash, garbage and other farm wastes shall not be dumped in mangrove areas, wetlands or other vacant land. Waste shall be burned, composted or put in a landfill in accordance with local laws. Composting shall be done by a procedure that does not create an odor problem or attract wild animals.

A plan should be made for prompt and responsible disposal of massive mortalities of culture animals by incineration, burial, composting or removal by a competent contractor.

Paper and plastic should be recycled if possible. Collection of wastes for recycling requires readily accessible waste containers that are serviced at regular intervals. All containers must be appropriately labeled with risk indicators (poisonous/explosive, etc.).

For Additional Information

USDA NRCS AL Guide Sheet No. AL 701
Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasures
Available online at <http://www.al.nrcs.usda.gov/SOsections/Engineering/BMPindex.html>

Standard 11 – Environment

Animal Welfare

Producers shall demonstrate that all operations on farms that involve fish are designed and operated with animal welfare in mind. Employees shall be trained to provide appropriate levels of husbandry.

Reasons for Standard

Since society seeks to avoid needless animal suffering, numerous regulations address animal welfare. Although few such regulations address fish, many consumers would like to know that farmed fish were produced by humane techniques.

When farmed fish are exposed to continuing stress, their feed consumption and growth rates can decline. Stressed animals are also less resistant to diseases, and mortality usually increases. Animal suffering can be prevented and production efficiency enhanced by applying good husbandry techniques to avoid stressful culture conditions.

Implementation

This standard seeks to assure a high level of welfare for aquaculture species. The following good aquatic animal husbandry practices should therefore be applied.

Farms must provide well-designed facilities for holding and rearing fish with adequate space and shade. The temperature and chemical composition of culture water should be appropriately maintained, and changes in water quality should be made slowly so the fish can adjust to the changes. Adequate levels of dissolved oxygen must be maintained.

High-quality feed should be offered at regular intervals that do not overfeed or underfeed the fish. Although fasting periods are often needed to enable harvesting in hygienic conditions, they should be minimized.

Farms should minimize stressful situations during handling by limiting crowding to two hours and time out of water to 15 seconds. Culture management should also avoid stress, injury or disease through rapid diagnosis and treatment of disease and by humane slaughter. When used, vaccination procedures should be executed by trained staff to prevent physical damage and minimize stress.

Dead animals must be documented, removed from ponds at least daily and disposed of properly in accordance with applicable local and state regulations. Ill fish and unwanted animals in the pond environment must be eliminated in a humane fashion by breaking their necks or a blow to the head and disposed of in accordance with applicable regulations. Procedures should be recorded in a health management plan or operating manual.

Farm staff should make regular inspections of the culture facility, noting water quality as well as the appearance and behavior of the fish. Swift action should be taken to correct deficiencies or symptoms.

Reliable scientific data on the effects of stocking density on fish welfare are limited, and many factors influence this relationship. Through detailed health records, farms shall demonstrate that suitable stocking densities are being employed. Maximum stocking densities shall be set with respect to fish biomass per water volume.

For Additional Information

Farm Animal Welfare Council

<http://www.fawc.org.uk/freedoms.htm>

Standard 12 – Food Safety

Drug and Chemical Management

Banned antibiotics, drugs and other chemical compounds shall not be used. Other therapeutic agents shall be used as directed on product labels for control of diagnosed diseases or required pond management, not prophylactic purposes.

Critical Points:

- Chloramphenicol and nitrofurans antibiotics are banned for use in food production in all countries. Other drugs and chemicals, such as antiobiotics, malachite green, heavy metals, parasiticides and hormones, may be banned in specific countries.
- The use of antibiotics to treat a diagnosed disease should be authorized and conducted by a veterinarian or fish health specialist.
- When antibiotics are used for therapeutic purposes, antibiotic residues test should be carried out after the withdrawal period.
- For exported products, drugs and chemicals approved for use in producing countries may only be used if they are not banned in importing countries and residues in fish products do not exceed limits set by importing nations.
- Records for disease diagnoses should support the use of therapeutants.
- Required records for every application of drugs and other chemicals shall include the date, compound used, reason(s) for use, dose and harvest date for treated ponds.
- Statements from feed, fry and fingerling suppliers that declare no prohibited drugs or other chemicals were applied to feed or seed are required.
- Vaccines and anaesthetics, where employed, shall be approved and used only according to manufacturers' instructions.
- Neither hormones nor antibiotics shall be used as growth promoters.

- Hormones should not be administered to animals intended for human consumption.
- Use of antifoulants shall be approved and discharge consents shall be obtained.

Reasons for Standard

Residues of some therapeutic agents can accumulate in fish tissue and present a potential health hazard to humans. Therefore, certain compounds have been banned, and residue limits mandated for others. Apart from compromising food safety, failure to comply with such regulations can have serious economic consequences to all involved in the food supply chain.

Improper use of chemicals can harm other organisms that live around farms. Moreover, prolonged use of antibiotics can lead to antibiotic resistance in disease organisms that affect fish and other aquaculture species.

Some farms are built on land previously used for agricultural or other purposes. Pesticides, heavy metals and other chemicals applied during these previous uses can remain in the land's soil and water in small amounts and be taken up by fish in production ponds. Such compounds pose a potential health risk to some elements of the human population.

Implementation

When considering site locations for new pond construction, soil samples should be taken in areas of high-risk contamination, such as low areas where runoff collects, previously used pesticide storage or disposal sites, and washing and loading sites for spray applicators and agricultural aircraft.

Good health management focuses on the prevention of disease rather than disease treatment with chemical compounds. The best ways of controlling disease are to avoid stocking diseased fish and avoid environmental stress by maintaining good water quality in culture systems. In pond culture, limiting water exchange lessens the risk of disease spreading from one farm to another.

Farms should develop health management plans that account for all mortalities and indicate procedures to avoid the introduction of disease, protocols to maintain water and soil quality in ponds, and fish health-monitoring and disease diagnosis techniques. Plans should also explain the steps to be taken when a diagnosed disease will be treated with approved chemicals. Lists of approved chemicals can usually be obtained from processing plants, agricultural agencies, or university fisheries research and extension programs.

During inspections, auditors shall have access to full records as described above for all applications of drugs and antibiotics. A sample Traceability Form for use at the pond, tank or cage level is provided in the Traceability section.

Farms should conduct a survey of chemical use in the surrounding watershed to evaluate potential sources of contamination. Certified facilities should also routinely monitor changes in land use practices in the surrounding area that might affect chemical residue levels in farmed fish.

For Additional Information

Guide to Drug, Vaccine, and Pesticide Use in Aquaculture

Federal Joint Subcommittee on Aquaculture – 1994
Texas Agricultural Extension Service College Station
Texas, USA

April 2007 revision – <http://www.aquanic.org/jsa/wgqaap/drugguide/drugguide.htm>

Food Safety Issues Associated With Products From Aquaculture

Report of a Joint FAO/NACA/WHO Study Group
World Health Organization – 1999
Geneva, Switzerland

Responsible Use of Antimicrobials in Fish Production.
<http://www.ruma.org.uk>

Standard 13 – Food Safety

Microbial Sanitation

Human waste and untreated animal manure shall be prevented from contaminating pond waters. Domestic sewage shall be treated and not contaminate surrounding areas.

Reasons for Standard

Sewage contains microorganisms that can be harmful to humans. It can also pollute the water into which it is discharged.

Organic fertilizers have been used widely in pond aquaculture for promoting phytoplankton blooms. These materials include animal manure, grass, by-products from harvesting or processing agricultural products, fisheries and aquaculture processing plant waste. Trash fish and processing wastes are also used as feed.

There is a possibility of health hazards to humans who consume inadequately cooked fish grown in waters that receive human waste, untreated animal manure or organic fertilizers containing *Salmonella* or other food-poisoning organisms.

Manure from animal production facilities can be contaminated with drugs added to animal feeds for the prevention or treatment of disease. These substances can potentially pass from the manure to aquatic animals and cause food safety concerns.

Farms should not use uncooked organisms and their by-products or trash fish as feed in fish ponds, as this encourages the spread of fish diseases. Also, this raw food has a high oxygen demand that can deteriorate pond water quality.

Implementation

Housing for owners or workers sometimes is located near fish ponds. Sewage from bathrooms, kitchens and other facilities shall be treated in septic tanks. Waste oxidation lagoons are also an acceptable treatment method on large farms. In all

cases, raw sewage shall not be discharged into fish ponds, farm canals or natural waters. Runoff from barns and other facilities for holding livestock shall not enter ponds.

Domestic animals other than family pets or watch dogs shall not circulate freely within farms. Livestock is permitted in pastures that serve as pond watersheds, but fences must be installed to prevent the animals from drinking or wading in ponds.

In the unlikely case that culture water is drawn from water bodies that could receive untreated human waste in the immediate vicinity of the farm, water holding or pretreatment is recommended.

It is in the best interest of the *Pangasius* culture industry to use chemical fertilizers, properly treated organic manure and pelleted feed in ponds. Certified farms shall not use any untreated manure or uncooked organisms in growout ponds. The use of beneficial bacteria is highly recommended to reduce the need for antibiotic treatments.

For Additional Information

Pond Aquaculture Water Quality Management

C. E. Boyd and C. S. Tucker – 1998
Kluwer Academic Publishers
Boston, Massachusetts, USA

Food Safety Issues Associated With Products From Aquaculture

Report of a Joint FAO/NACA/WHO Study Group
World Health Organization – 1999
Geneva, Switzerland

Environmental Engineering

P. A. Vesilind, J. J. Peirce and R. F. Weiner – 1994
Butterworth-Heinemann
Boston, Massachusetts, USA

Standard 14 – Food Safety

Harvest and Transport

Fish shall be harvested and transported to processing plants or other markets in a manner that maintains temperature control and minimizes stress, physical damage and contamination.

Reasons for Standard

The crowding and handling of fish during harvesting and transport are potentially stressful, so measures should be taken to prevent unnecessary animal suffering. Unclean water and transport containers can cause contamination of fish during transit from ponds to plants or markets.

Implementation

When fish are rendered insensitive or their physiological activity greatly reduced during transport, the process should be accomplished by humane methods. For fish placed on ice at the farm, the process must be done properly or the quality of the organisms can deteriorate.

Fish shall be rendered insensible by means of carbon dioxide, ice or ice slurry, or a blow to the head. Alternating layers of ice and fish are recommended to avoid temperature fluctuations. Ice shall be made from potable water.

Fish that are accidentally dropped on the ground during harvest shall not be left out of water to suffocate. Equipment and containers used to harvest and transport fish should be clean to avoid contamination of harvested fish by lubricants, fuel, metal fragments or other foreign materials.

Live transport of fish in well boats or trucks should not take longer than 24 hours, and adequate water quality should be maintained during transport to minimize stress. This usually requires the application of mechanical aeration or oxygenation in the transport containers. Temperature control may also be necessary. Transport density shall not exceed 10% fish volume/water volume.

The adequacy of transport methods should be assessed by documented mortality rates. Nonapproved chemicals shall not be applied directly or indirectly to fish during transport.

For Additional Information

USFDA Center for Food Safety & Applied Nutrition
Fish and Fisheries Products Hazards and Controls
Guidance: Third Edition, June 2001
Appendix 4: Bacterial Pathogen Growth and Inactivation
Available online at <http://www.cfsan.fda.gov/~comm/haccp4x4.html>

Traceability Record-Keeping Requirement

To establish product traceability, the following data shall be recorded for each culture unit and each production cycle:

- culture unit identification number
- unit area or volume
- stocking date
- quantity of fingerlings stocked
- source of fingerlings (hatchery)
- antibiotic and drug use
- herbicide, algicide and other pesticide use
- manufacturer and lot number for each feed used
- harvest date
- harvest quantity
- processing plant or purchaser.

Reasons for Requirement

Product traceability is a crucial component of the BAP certification program. It interconnects links in the fish production chain and allows each processed lot to be traced back to the culture unit and inputs of origin. Results of food quality and safety analyses by accredited laboratories can also be included. Traceability ultimately assures the purchaser that all steps in the production process were taken in compliance with environmental, social and food safety standards.

Implementation

Farms can maintain paper records of the required data in notebooks or files (sample form follows). If possible, the information should also be transferred to computer database files, with the original files kept to allow verification of the electronic data.

Some of this information shall also be added via the Internet to BAP's online traceability system. To participate in the traceability system, the farm shall pay a basic annual fee and an incremental fee for each registered traceability document.

Some of the data referenced in BAP's standards on egg and fingerling sources, and chemical management is required for online product traceability. This information and other pond-related records needed for BAP certification can be captured on the sample Product Traceability Form on page 28. Each form corresponds to the harvest on a particular day from a particular culture unit.

The record-keeping process requires a high degree of care and organization. On large farms, pond managers could collect initial data for those fish for which they are responsible. A single clerk could then be given the task of collecting the data from pond managers and transferring it to a computer database. Farm management shall, of course, review the effort at intervals to verify it satisfies BAP requirements.

PUBLIC COMMENT DRAFT
Sample Product Traceability Form

Farm Name		Pond Number	Pond Area (ha)
FINGERLINGS		FEED	
Stocking Date		Feed Type	
Stocking Quantity		Manufacturer	
Hatchery	BAP No.	Lot Number(s)	
“No Banned Chemical Use” Statement Available? Y N		“No Banned Chemical Use” Statement Available? Y N	
THERAPEUTIC DRUG USE		PESTICIDE USE	
Compound 1		Compound 1	
Disease Treated		Condition Treated	
Application Rate		Application Rate	
Application Period		Application Period	
Compound 2		Compound 2	
Disease Treated		Condition Treated	
Application Rate		Application Rate	
Application Period		Application Period	
HARVEST		Harvest Purchaser Name/ Address	
Harvest Date			
Harvest Quantity (kg)			